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Scaling up green finance now

- Objective of +1.5°C global warming out of reach unless drastic cut in global emissions = 0 in 2050

- Financing needs for orderly transition are huge: invest $90 trns in clean infrastructure before 2030 (NCE, 2016) = 4.5 years of US GDP

- What banks can do:
  - Rebalance their portfolios out of brown industries: cf. greening commitments
  - Price climate-related risk properly => climate risk premium (transition/physical)
This paper

- Polluting firms stand at risk of higher losses/lower performance when (if) climate policies seriously tackle climate change threat and curb emissions.

- Do bank loans to carbon-intensive firms accordingly command a higher loan rate (=climate policy/risk premium)? Hypothesis: climate (transition) risk awareness risen by Paris Accord in 2015.

- Use syndicated loan data (DealScan) + firm-specific info on GHG intensity of sales (TruCost) + additional info on Environmental policy stringency and Banks’ environmental commitments.

- Regress spread of loan (margin) on firm’s carbon-intensity interacted with post-2015 dummy + controls.

  - Climate risk premium identified post Paris Accord.

  - Complementary tests point to pricing of (short-term) transition, not physical risk.


**Comments**

- Important issue, neat empirical exercise
- Findings aligned with companion paper by Delis et al. (2018)
- Nevertheless, the authors could:
  - Enrich dataset and improve statistical description of data
  - Test more restrictive specifications
  - Better highlight economic significance
  - Develop more policy implications of negative finding related to “green” banks
Comments: data

• Better explain merge of datasets: frequency of final sample of loans? (yearly?) who is “the” lender in case of a syndicate? (leader?)

• More descriptive statistics required (cf. Table II = loan-level only)
  – Firm-level (some 1,150): notably, # of loans per firm
  – Bank-level: how many banks? Breakdown by region? Other measures of green banks?

• Explain loss of data:
  – ~30,000 loan obs in Table II, but only ~4,600 obs used in regression on Table III.

• Additional firm-level controls required:
  – Firm: profitability, tangible assets, market-to-book, size, leverage = all available from Compustat
**Comments: regression specification**

- Controlling for bank-level shocks:
  - Bank*time fixed effects
  - Alternatively, Bank FE + time-varying bank controls: capitalization, dependence on wholesale funding, exposure to Lehman (Chodorow-Reich, 2014), exposure to GIIPS sovereign…

- Controlling for bank-firm relationship:
  - Previously lead or participant in syndicated loan to same firm?
  - Does stronger relationship alleviate climate-related concerns?

- Investigate interaction of Paris Accord and country’s climate policy stringency (cf. table IV)
  - Is Paris Accord more credible where stricter rules apply?
Comments: results

• Role of maturity:
  – Maturity choice endogenous to loan conditions and firm characteristics => instrument when focus on maturity*CI?

• Discussion of estimates:
  – Economic significance of estimated coefficient: comparing firms at p25-p75 of CI
  – How does it compare with findings in Delis et al. (2018) (stranded asset premium of some 20bp)

• Green banks do not seem to adjust their pricing to more CI firms
  – Are green statements of banks mere greenwashing?
  – Test for other measures: e.g. CDP scores
Greener banks, no greener credit: complementary insights

No clear pattern of bank credit rebalancing out of brown sectors in France over 2010-2016

Source: Mésonnier, Zerbib (2018)
Policy implications

• Banks’ self interest may be enough for them to price in transition risk, at least partly
  – But credible climate policies required
  – Unlikely to be enough for banks to reshuffle massively credit across sectors

• Unless investors’ pressure gains momentum, banks’ green commitments may remain mere greenwashing

• Calls for public authorities to step in if green finance to be scaled up
  – Increase green funding by public development banks, with access to CB funding
  – Align MP (collateral haircuts/eligibility) and prudential (brown penalizing capital weights) frameworks w/ low-carbon objective?